

## People need places to live



I accept that people need places to live and that all areas (including our own) will need to play their part in the provision of housing, but the proposed development's scale and location will completely change the nature of a largely rural environment and is certainly not sustainable by any measure.

A more planning focused objection:

I recognise the need for new housing and accept that all areas, including our own, must contribute to meeting objectively assessed needs. However, the scale, form, and location of the proposed development would fundamentally alter the character of what is currently a largely rural environment. The scheme represents a level of urbanisation that is entirely disproportionate to its context and cannot be considered sustainable by any reasonable measure. Its remoteness from services, reliance on private car travel, and the significant transport, environmental, and landscape impacts it would generate mean that it conflicts with the NPPF's core principles of sustainable development and with Local Plan policies designed to protect rural character and prevent inappropriate expansion into the countryside.